

Quarles & Brady LLP
 One Renaissance Square
 Two North Central Avenue
 Suite 600
 Phoenix, AZ 85004-2322
 TELEPHONE 602-229-5200

John M. O’Neal (015945)
John.ONeal@quarles.com
 Zachary S. Foster (FL #111980) (*admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
Zachary.Foster@quarles.com
 John H. Contrera (039704)
Jack.Contrera@quarles.com

*Attorneys for Defendant Mountainside Fitness
 Acquisition, LLC*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Chet Michael Wilson, individually and on
 behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

Mountainside Fitness Acquisition, LLC

Defendant.

NO. 2:25-cv-01481-MTL

**DEFENDANT MOUNTAINSIDE
 FITNESS ACQUISITION, LLC’S
 NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL
 AUTHORITY**

Defendant Mountainside Fitness Acquisition, LLC (“Mountainside”) hereby submits this notice of supplemental authority to alert the Court to *Aliana El Sayed v. Naturopathica Holistic Health, Inc.*, 2025 WL 2997759 (M.D. Fla. Oct. 24, 2025), a decision issued last week that bears on the pending Motion to Dismiss in this case, ECF 13.

El Sayed holds that “the statutory text [of the TCPA] is clear, and a text message is not a ‘telephone call.’” *Id.* at *2 (quoting *Davis v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.*, 2025 WL 2491195, at *1 (N.D. Fla. Aug. 26, 2025)). The *El Sayed* court reached this conclusion on two discrete but equally dispositive grounds. First, the court found that, in common American English usage, a “telephone call” and “text message” are separate and distinct forms of communication. *Id.* Second, Congress clearly understood the difference between a telephone call and a text message, and “legislated mindful of the distinction” when drafting Section 227(c)(5). *Id.* (citing Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2018, Pub. L. No. 115-141,

1 div. P, § 503(a) (codified at 47 U.S.C. §§ 227(e)(8)(A)–(B)) (distinguishing between “a call
 2 made using a voice service” and “a text message sent using a text messaging service”). *El*
 3 *Sayed* aligns with Mountainside’s argument that text messages are not telephone calls under
 4 Section 227(c)(5) and therefore do not confer a private right of action to Plaintiff in this
 5 case. *See id.* at *2 (“The omission of ‘text message’ from paragraph 227(c)(5) confirms that
 6 the provision applies only to a ‘telephone call.’”).

7 The *El Sayed* decision also rejects certain arguments put forward by Plaintiff in its
 8 Opposition, ECF 17. For instance, when Plaintiff argues that the word “call” in the TCPA
 9 includes text messages, it relies heavily on FCC guidance to make its point. *See* ECF 17 at
 10 13 (“[T]he FCC has recognized since 2003 that when the TCPA says ‘call,’ it means not
 11 just traditional voice calls but also modern text messages.”); and at *8 (“[T]he FCC’s
 12 position is the best reading of the statute’s text.”). But the *El Sayed* decision specifically
 13 rejects Plaintiff’s position. The court recognized the voice call provisions of the TCPA have
 14 only been extended to text messages via the rulemaking authority of the FCC, and that
 15 District Courts are now no longer “bound by the FCC’s interpretation of the TCPA.” *Id.* at
 16 *1 (quoting *McLaughlin Chiropractic Associates, Inc. v. McKesson Corporation*, 606 U.S.
 17 146, 168 (2025)). As such, when one reads Section 227(c)(5), “the text is clear, [and] the
 18 analysis begins and ends there.” *Id.* (quoting *Davis*, 2025 WL 2491195, at *1). Because
 19 Section 227(c)(5) is written to apply only to “telephone calls”, and the phrase “text
 20 message” is entirely absent from same, the statute applies only to a “telephone call.”

21 A copy of the order in *El Sayed* is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

22 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 27th day of October, 2025.

23 QUARLES & BRADY LLP
 24 One Renaissance Square
 25 Two North Central Avenue, Suite 600
 Phoenix, AZ 85004-2322

26 By: /s/ John M. O’Neal
 27 John M. O’Neal

28 *Attorney for Defendant Mountainside
 Fitness Acquisition LLC*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 27, 2025, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing document to be filed with the Clerk of the Court through the U.S. District Court Electronic Court Filing System, which caused notice of such filing to be sent electronically to the registered attorneys of record.

/s/ Debra L. Hitchens